

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

IN RE: PAXIL PREGANANCY CASES

:  
:  
:  
:

FEBRUARY TERM 2007  
NO. 3220

ORDER

AND NOW, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008, this Court has initiated its *in camera* review of the subject documents and as a starting point has limited its review to the documents identified in Exhibit 1 attached by Defendant GSK in its Memorandum of Law submitted in letter brief form on August 11, 2008.

To assist in its review, this Court seeks clarification of some issues concerning the text of certain documents in the subset of documents in Exhibit 1. The documents are as follows:

MTP Challenged Doc 00441 (PAR060004770)  
MTP Challenged Doc 00780 (PAR041233486)  
MTP Challenged Doc 01459 (PAR061003285)  
MTP Challenged Doc 01077 (PAR061052033)

COPIES SENT  
PURSUANT TO Pa.R.C.P. 236(b)


OCT 10 2008

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PA  
USER I.D.                     

Please submit under seal to the Court a supplemental designation of the specific language or text within the body of the communication which Defendant claims to be subject to a privilege. Also identify the specific legal content (as compared to general content) of the communication which makes such communication subject to the privilege.

Response is due in ten (10) days.

BY THE COURT:



ALLAN L. TERESHKO, J.  
Coordinating Judge  
Complex Litigation Center

In Re: Paxil Pregancy Cases-ORDER



07020322000050