DOCKETED
COMPLEX LIT CENTER
NOV 21 2008
J. STEWART

IN THE PHILADELPHIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

IN RE: PAXIL PREGNANCY CASES	:	FEBRUARY TERM 2007 NO. 3220

COPIES SENT
PURSUANT TO Pa.R.C.P. 236(b)
NOV 21 2008
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PA
USER I.D.:

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 4

Pursuant to a Joint Conference with the Court on April 23, 2008, the Court enters the following Case Management Order No. 4.

1. Any records obtained pursuant to authorizations signed by Plaintiffs are hereby designated as authentic. Such designation may be challenged with respect to authentication in a time and manner to be determined by the Court. A notarized certificate of authentication shall not be required and the lack thereof shall not serve as an objection based on lack of authentication. Further, all objections to the admissibility of any such records so obtained, based upon any other grounds other than authentication, are not waived and are hereby preserved.

2. Contemporaneous with requesting records, the Defendant (or the third party record copy service responsible for record collection) shall provide counsel of record for plaintiffs in a particular case with the identity of all entities from which the Defendant is seeking records pursuant to an authorization provided by any plaintiff in that case. The Defendant (or record copy service responsible for record collection) will also provide counsel of record for plaintiffs in a particular case with a copy of said authorization to obtain the records.

07020322000056

3. All documents produced by the Defendants are hereby presumed to be self-authenticating business records. Such presumption may be challenged with respect to self-authentication and status as a business record in a time and manner to be determined by the Court.

DATE: November 5, 2008

BY THE COURT