

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
TRIAL DIVISION – CIVIL (MASS TORTS)

IN RE:

YAZ®, YASMIN®, OCELLA® PRODUCT
LIABILITY LITIGATION

APPLICABLE TO ALL CASES

SEPTEMBER TERM, 2009

NO. 1307

DOCKETED
COMPLEX LIT CENTER

JUL 14 2011

J. STEWART

ORDER

AND NOW, this 14th day of July, 2011, upon consideration of *Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike the Testimony of Bayer Employee's Regarding Their Personal Use of Yaz, Yasmin or Ocella or that of their Family Members, or in the Alternative Discovery* (Control No. 11062095), and Defendants' Response thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED**, that Plaintiffs' Motion is **GRANTED** as follows:

- 1) This order is meant to clarify the Order of July 5, 2011 granting the Plaintiffs' motion;
- 2) Plaintiffs' motion is granted and all evidence, discussion or argument about Defendants' employees', former employees,' attorneys or their family members' experience with or personal use of Yaz, Yasmin or Ocella is barred at trial;
- 3) The relief granted in paragraph 2 of this Order is a global ruling that applies to all cases litigated in *In Re: Yaz®, Yasmin®, Ocella® Product Liability Litigation – Mass Tort Program in the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania*; and,
- 4) Plaintiffs' alternative request for discovery is denied as moot based upon the ruling set forth herein.

In Re: Yaz/Yasmin/Ocella Litigation-ORDER


SANDRA MAZER MOSS, J.



09090130700236