




First Judicial District of PA: Trial Division - Civil

MASS TORT PROGRAM


Inventory Pending / Filed / Disposed



For Re-Open, Net Deferred and Net Transfer please see pages 3 and 4 of the Mass Tort Report for December Term 2017.

*First Judicial District of PA: Trial Division - Civil*

MASS TORT PROGRAM  
INVENTORY BREAKDOWN DECEMBER TERM 2017



<i>Program:</i>	<i>Records Pending</i>	<i>% of Records Pending</i>
<i>Risperdal</i>	6,200	56.45%
<i>Reglan Litigation</i>	2,073	18.87%
<i>Xarelto</i>	1,619	14.74%
<i>Asbestos</i>	589	5.36%
<i>Vena Cava Filter</i>	277	2.52%
<i>Pelvic Mesh Litigation</i>	119	1.08%
<i>Firefighter Hearing Loss</i>	85	0.77%
<i>Yaz/Yasmin/Ocella Litigation</i>	19	0.17%
<i>Phen-Fen</i>	2	0.02%
<i>Paxil Birth Defect</i>	1	0.01%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10,984</b>	<b>100%</b>

*\* Other includes Yaz/Yasmin/Ocella Litigation, Phen Fen & Paxil Birth Defect.*

*First Judicial District of PA: Trial Division - Civil*


**MASS TORT REPORT  
DECEMBER TERM 2017**

	Records Pending 12/04/17	Filings	Re-Open Records	Total Records Disposed	Net Deferred	Net Transfer	Records Pending 12/31/17	Deferred Records	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Increase (Decrease)
ASBESTOS	556	48	0	15	0	0	589	1	33	6%
PAXIL-BIRTH DEFECT	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0%
FIREFIGHTER HEARING LOSS	85	0	0	0	0	0	85	0	0	0%
PHEN-FEN	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0%
YAZ/YAZMIN/OCELLA LITIGATION	19	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0%
RISPERDAL	6,155	48	15	20	1	1	6,200	263	45	0.7%
XARELTO	1,563	66	1	12	0	1	1,619	0	56	3.6%
PELVIC MESH LITIGATION	126	0	0	7	0	0	119	0	(7)	-6%
REGLAN LITIGATION	2,077	0	0	3	0	(1)	2,073	11	(4)	-0.2%
VENA CAVA FILTER	238	40	0	0	0	(1)	277	0	39	16.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10,822</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,984</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>1.5%</b>


*First Judicial District of PA: Trial Division - Civil*  
**MASS TORT REPORT**  
**2017**

	Records Pending 01/02/17	Filings	Re-Open Records	Total Records Disposed	Net Deferred	Net Transfer	Records Pending 12/31/17	Deferred Records	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Increase (Decrease)
ASBESTOS	595	287	14	313	2	4	589	1	(6)	-1%
PAXIL-BIRTH DEFECT	1	0	0	8	8	0	1	0	0	0%
FIREFIGHTER HEARING LOSS	0	74	0	1	0	12	85	0	85	0%
PHEN-FEN	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	(1)	-33%
YAZ/YAZMIN/OCELLA LITIGATION	109	1	0	91	0	0	19	0	(90)	-83%
RISPERDAL	1,945	4,403	15	173	8	2	6,200	263	4,255	219%
XARELTO	1,214	454	1	52	0	2	1,619	0	405	33%
PELVIC MESH LITIGATION	164	37	0	82	0	0	119	0	(45)	-27%
REGLAN LITIGATION	2,165	2	0	81	(11)	(2)	2,073	11	(92)	-4%
VENA CAVA FILTER	0	147	0	4	0	134	277	0	277	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6,196</b>	<b>5,405</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>10,984</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>4,788</b>	<b>77%</b>


**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2017: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
MASS TORT PROGRAM DISPOSITION YTD TOTAL: 806**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2016: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
MASS TORT PROGRAM DISPOSITION YTD TOTAL: 737**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2015: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
MASS TORT PROGRAM DISPOSITION YTD TOTAL: 1,140**




As defined by CourTools, Time to Disposition is an acknowledged measure of comparison, measured as the time it takes to process a case, from filing to disposition. A time standard is expressed in terms of the percentage of cases that should be resolved within a certain time frame. Courts should take note of existing guidelines and rules of court in their own jurisdiction when developing their own guidelines for each case type.


**ABA Case Processing Standards**

- \* 90% within 12 months
- \* 98% within 18 months
- \* 100% within 24 months


**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2017: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM DISPOSITION TOTAL: 313**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2016: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM DISPOSITION TOTAL: 288**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2015 TIME TO DISPOSITION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM DISPOSITION TOTAL: 339**




As defined by CourTools, Time to Disposition is an acknowledged measure of comparison, measured as the time it takes to process a case, from filing to disposition. A time standard is expressed in terms of the percentage of cases that should be resolved within a certain time frame. Courts should take note of existing guidelines and rules of court in their own jurisdiction when developing their own guidelines for each case type.


**ABA Case Processing Standards**

- \* 90% within 12 months
- \* 98% within 18 months
- \* 100% within 24 months


**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2017: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
PHARMACEUTICAL DISPOSITION TOTAL: 493**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2016: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
PHARMACEUTICAL DISPOSITION TOTAL: 449**



**YTD DECEMBER TERM 2015: TIME TO DISPOSITION  
PHARMACEUTICAL DISPOSITION TOTAL: 801**




As defined by CourTools, Time to Disposition is an acknowledged measure of comparison, measured as the time it takes to process a case, from filing to disposition. A time standard is expressed in terms of the percentage of cases that should be resolved within a certain time frame. Courts should take note of existing guidelines and rules of court in their own jurisdiction when developing their own guidelines for each case type.

**ABA Case Processing Standards**

- \* 90% within 12 months
- \* 98% within 18 months
- \* 100% within 24 months

## MASS TORT PROGRAM Percentage of Out of State Plaintiffs 2005 - 2017




Program Year	Asbestos Filings	Asbestos: Out of State Plaintiffs	% of Out of State Plaintiffs
2005	296	101	34%
2006	273	102	37%
2007	205	68	33%
2008	182	62	34%
2009	238	97	41%
2010	284	106	37%
2011	312	147	47%
2012	327	143	44%
2013	330	109	33%
2014	268	90	34%
2015	258	84	33%
2016	286	99	35%
2017	287	134	47%
<b>Total: 2005 - 2017</b>	<b>3,546</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>38%</b>

Program Year	Pharmaceutical Filings	Pharmaceuticals: Out of State Plaintiffs	% of Out of State Plaintiffs
2005	344	280	81%
2006	277	247	89%
2007	397	349	88%
2008	500	424	85%
2009	1,583	1,353	85%
2010	2,305	2,060	89%
2011	2,435	2,132	88%
2012	489	420	86%
2013	483	429	89%
2014	1,827	1,617	89%
2015	1,030	830	81%
2016	1,329	990	74%
2017	5,118	4,599	90%
<b>Total: 2005 - 2017</b>	<b>18,117</b>	<b>15,730</b>	<b>87%</b>

The number of filings for years 2005 - 2011 represents all new filings as well as all matters transferred into the program as of January 17, 2012. Number of filings for 2012 through year to date 2017 represents only new case filings.



## Mass Tort Filings 2008 - 2017



*Filings for 2017 increased 235% (or 3,790) from 2016 total filings*

*Risperdal and Xarelto represent 92% of the total filings for 2017.*

*Between 2015 and 2016, there was a increase of 327 Mass Tort filings (or a 25% increase).*

*Between 2014 and 2015, there was a decrease of 807 Mass Tort filings (or a 39% decrease).*

*Between 2013 and 2014, there was an increase of 1,282 Mass Tort filings (or a 158% increase).*

*Between 2012 and 2013, there was a decrease of 3 Mass Tort filings (or a .4% decrease).*

*Between 2011 and 2012, there was a decrease of 1,874 Mass Tort filings (or a 70% decrease).*

*Between 2010 and 2011, there was an increase of 308 Mass Tort filings (or a 13% increase).*

*Between 2009 and 2010, there was an increase of 681 Mass Tort filings (or a 40% increase).*

*Between 2008 and 2009, there was an increase of 1,151 Mass Tort filings (or a 209% increase).*